



Joint PROPOSAL (N.5)

On Truce of Russo-Ukrainian War and Restoration of Peace

Recognized by ELFO FAMILY in March 31, 2025

Dear all the Heads of State and Dear UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres!!

We the ELFO (Earth-Loving Friendly Organization) have honor to send you our greeting and significant 5th PROPOSAL on truce, restoration of peace, amity, Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine, and innovative norm of “decisive date” when belligerents should stop war legally.

Firstly, our ELFO FAMILY sent 5 times our conciliatory PROPOSALS to Russian Pres. V. Putin and Ukrainian Pres. V. Zelensky, considering each time a new given situation. The last text has its effect even now (please click [here](#))

Secondly, then our ELFO FAMILY thought it better to increase sphere of addressees more, and 4 time sent our updated PROPOSALS not only to the said warring Heads, but also to the USA Pres. Donald Trump, the UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres, English PM. K. Starmer, French Pres. E. Macron, Chinese Head of State X. Jinping, and Indian PM. N. Modi. (click [here](#)) These texts have its effect even now. And I expect your Excellencies to read them.

This time we the ELFO wish all the world Heads of State to pay attention to our past effective PROPOSALS as well as present 5th PROPOSAL, and change the present dangerous international situation into far better world.

*We the ELFO FAMILY adopted unanimously draft of the **Treaty on Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine** (TPNU, which was decided in August 2024), and this time our ELFO FAMILY also reconfirmed its updated draft treaty in March 20, 2025 (Click [here](#)) and now. Regarding Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine, we are happy if you consider 9 points:*

1. An ideal and practical way of Neutralization of Ukraine may be a breakthrough of negotiation for truce and peaceful settlement of Russo-Ukrainian War. Otherwise, negotiation for ceasefire may prolong. Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine is more stable than unilateral declaration of neutrality by Ukraine. The latter choice may open war again in risky situation.

2. Militarization with modern weapons and strengthening military alliances with tusks are contrary to demand of modern 21 century, normalization of blessed Earth-community and maintaining beautiful cosmos. Neutralization of States contributes to peaceful world community.

3. Considering history of human beings, use of armed forces and even threat by it were prohibited gradually, so, the way along which humankind march is disarmament and peaceful settlement of conflicts.

4. Such peaceful amicable way logically leads to ONE World, namely, World Federation where every State should respect each personality, be peaceful, and of neutralized character as all the autonomies (prefectures, cities, towns, village etc.) in a given country.

5. Permanent Neutralized States or regions are forerunners of progressive peaceful process moving toward establishment of ONE World. They can be even proud of it: they are in the van of the era!! We the ELFO FAMILY expect, from the bottom of our hearts, Your Exc. TOPs' epoch-making approval for our main idea of the present 5th PROPOSAL. (p.2 in [here](#))

6. Why is Neutralization of Ukraine, moreover Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine better and more significant than Ukraine's accession to the NATO? You can see one of these causes in the Preamble in the Treaty on Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine, which stresses, among others, that rather Ukraine "can be proud of its historical role as a forerunner of progressive peaceful process leading to establishment of ONE World."

7. If Ukraine tries to join the NATO now and also in future, more risky darker Russo-Ukrainian War will continue, and new category of more horrible and catastrophic war may break out which leads possibly to the World War III.

8. From viewpoint of geopolitics Russia cannot endure seeing such very close nation as Ukraine join the West, and be an opponent probable to direct weapon against Russia. It means that if Ukraine becomes a neutral State, international tension will mitigate. If Ukraine will be a Permanent Neutral State by treaty, it will be the safest and securest form, provided that security of Ukraine shall be categorically guaranteed.

9. Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine may be much compromise for Ukrainians. If so, Russians and concerned nations as well as people interested in this matter must consider also due compromise from Russian side. We the ELFO, on the other hand, study Russo-Ukraine Peace Treaty (RUPT), considering the TPNU and the RUPT ex aequo et bono (equity and good).

In March 31, 2025 our ELFO and its child ICO (International Conciliation Organization) reconfirmed the following points, which both of the Organizations wish the United Nations to adopt:

1. A decisive (critical) date. When should belligerents stop war? It seems that up to the present time there is no rule in international law which indicates the date when a belligerent should stop their war. Nevertheless it is desirable to make some norms or standards by which belligerents should not fight anymore or by which belligerents feel heavy oppression from international society aspiring after truce.

As such a day our ELFO and the ICO propose the date when it is clear, as a result of the UN decision or a questionnaire, that two thirds majority of States (one tenths, or all the States beside belligerents) wish unconditional stop of war. It is very effective if the UN Security Council decides resolution in general form that such decisive date is binding upon all the belligerent States or belligerent groups.

2. Legal effects of decisive date. *We expect the following effects:*

- a. Belligerents shall never fight anymore after the decisive date;*
- b. If one of belligerents stops war after the decisive day, it is categorically obligatory for the other to stop the war;*
- b. Status quo of occupied territories at the decisive date should be maintained, unless otherwise agreed by belligerents. (Then final border shall be negotiated and settled by peace treaty);*
- c. Occupied territory in Ukraine as well as in Russia shall be supervised by the United Nations;*
- d. Increase of the occupied territories after the decisive (critical) date shall never be recognized by the United Nations or the States who voted for the decisive date.*

Dear UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres, we the ELFO FAMILY appreciate your continuous burdensome efforts for creating peace, and we wish the UN to make an effort to clarify the conception of decisive (critical) date, conducting questionnaire about such respects. The draft questionnaire is, for example, as follows:

- 1.Does your Gov. think a belligerent should continue fight against the other?
a. Yes. b. Maybe, yes. c. No comment d. Maybe, no d. No.
- 2.Does your Gov. agree to neutral status of Ukraine in peace time?
a. Yes. b. Maybe, yes. c. No comment d. Maybe, no d. No.
- 3.Does your Gov. agree to Permanent Neutralization of Ukraine (PNU)?
a. Yes. b. Maybe, yes. c. No comment d. Maybe, no d. No.
- 4.Is unilateral declaration of neutrality better than PNU by international treaty?
a. Yes. b. Maybe, yes. c. No comment d. Maybe, no d. No.
- 5.Should the UN conduct such above mentioned questionnaire?
a. Yes. b. Maybe, yes. c. No comment d. Maybe, no d. No.

If the United Nations does not conduct such questionnaire, Hon. Pres. thinks that it will be conducted by team work of him,

Very truly yours

*Mr. Rikio Kaneko, ELFO Hon. Pres. and Hon. Prof. of Sapporo University
Mr. Edmario Peixinho, ELFO Pres. and Pres. of ELFO's Brazilian Association
Mrs. Letitia Kapuscinska, Pres. of the International Conciliation Organization*

As for ELFO website <http://wopw.org> ELFO Secretary: licky114@yahoo.co.jp